

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,890	11/28/2005	Richard Norman Johnson	9062-000174/US/NP	6109
28977 7590 - 0410/2009 HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C 7700 Bonhomme, Suite 400			EXAMINER	
			SPEER, TIMOTHY M	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/531.890 JOHNSON, RICHARD Interview Summary NORMAN Examiner Art Unit TIMOTHY M. SPEER 1794 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Timothy M. Speer. (3)____. (4) _____. (2) Kevin Pumm. Date of Interview: 08 April 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ___ Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 27. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The outstanding 112 rejections were discussed. Applicant proposed amending the claims to recite an upper limit of 19.35 for EVA. The Examiner will reconsider his position in light of applicant's response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Timothy M. Speer/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794
U.S. Patent and Tademak Office